UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X Docket#

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 19-cr-00127-AMD-ST-1

18-mj-1101-RER

:

- versus - : U.S. Courthouse

: Brooklyn, New York

:

LUCIO CELLI, : January 9, 2019

Defendant : 11:23 AM

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR PROCEEDING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROANNE L. MANN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: Richard P. Donoghue, Esq.

United States Attorney

BY: Kayla Bensing, Esq.

Assistant U.S. Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: Leticia Olivera, Esq.

Federal Defenders of

New York, Inc.

One Pierrepont Plaza

16th Floor

Brooklyn, NY 11201

Transcription Service: Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.

61 Beatrice Avenue

West Islip, New York 11795

laferrara44@gmail.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound-recording, transcript produced by transcription service

THE CLERK: Criminal Cause for Order of 1 2 Excludable Delay, docket number 18-m-1101, United States 3 of America v. Lucio Celli. Counsel, please state your name for the record. 4 MS. BENSING: Kayla Bensing for the government. 5 Good morning, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Good morning. MS. OLIVERA: Good morning, your Honor. 8 9 Leticia Olivera, Federal Defenders of New York 10 on behalf of Mr. Celli. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. I understand that the defendant is consenting 12 to an order of excludable delay from today through 13 14 February 8th. Is that correct? 15 MS. BENSING: That's correct. 16 THE COURT: And I noted that the docket sheet indicated that the last order of excludable delay was 17 18 entered over his objection. He does now consent to this 19 particular extension. 20 MS. BENSING: That's correct. 21 THE COURT: And you've discussed that matter 22 with him? 23 MS. BENSING: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And you're satisfied it's in his 25 interest to agree to this exclusion?

MS. BENSING: I am. 1 2 THE COURT: And the reason for the exclusion is 3 to allow the parties to have additional time to engage in plea discussions? 4 5 MS. BENSING: That's correct. THE COURT: All right, Mr. Celli, I have before 6 7 me the proposed order of excludable delay. Is that your 8 signature on the defendant's signature line? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Have you read this document and 11 discussed it with your attorney? 12 THE DEFENDANT: She read it to me, yes, and she discussed it with me. 13 14 THE COURT: And do you understand what you're 15 agreeing to by signing this document? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I'm excluding time from -- for 17 -- for indictment, yes.

THE COURT: And you understand the implications

19 of that?

25

20 THE DEFENDANT: There's --

21 THE COURT: You understand what it means to

22 | exclude time?

23 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, it extends -- extends the

24 date, the indictment, yes.

THE COURT: And I will explain it in a moment

but I just want to make sure that you have some basic understanding of what you're agreeing to. The proposed order would exclude the time from today through February 8th from the time within which the formal charges must be brought.

Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, a defendant in a criminal case is entitled to a speedy trial. Part of that right is the right to have formal charges brought promptly. Specifically by statute, an indictment or information must be filed within 30 days of the defendant's arrest with certain periods of time excluded from that 30-day calculation.

If formal charges are not brought within that period of time, that could form the basis for a motion to dismiss the charges. By agreeing to exclude the time from today through February 8th, you in effect are agreeing that the Speedy Trial clock will not be running at all during that specified period.

THE DEFENDANT: I -- I --

THE COURT: Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understood that. Yes.

THE COURT: And if you later made a motion to dismiss the charges on the grounds that you had not been formally charged within 30 days, you would not be able to take into account in your calculation, the period of time

specified in this proposed order or in the prior order that was entered over your objection.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, because it's my choice.

THE COURT: And understanding that, do you still wish me to approve this order of excludable delay?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And I should mention one other thing. I see you are in custody. You should understand that by agreeing to delay the time for your indictment, or information, you likely will be delaying the ultimate trial of your case if you decide not to plead guilty as you have an absolute right to do.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And understanding that as well, do you still want me to approve this proposed order?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I find that the defendant's consent is knowing, intelligent and voluntary, and that approving this order serves the ends of justice. Therefore, it's approved.

Is there anything further?

MS. OLIVERA: Nothing further.

MS. BENSING: If we could just set a calendar

1 date for February 8th at 11 a.m. in this court.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you for reminding me. So we'll put it down for February 8th before the duty magistrate. Thank you very much.

MS. BENSING: Thank you.

MS. OLIVERA: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. Have a nice day.

THE COURT: You, too.

(Matter concluded)

-000-

CERTIFICATE

I, LINDA FERRARA, hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the said proceedings is a true and accurate transcript from the electronic sound-recording of the proceedings reduced to typewriting in the above-entitled matter.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 29th day of May, 2019.

Linda Ferrara

AAERT CET 656

Transcriptions Plus II, Inc.